HYDE & SWIGART

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

crosby@westcoastlitigation.com Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)

josh@westcoastlitigation.com

Hyde & Swigart

2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101

San Diego, CA 92108-3609 Telephone: (619) 233-7770

Fax: (619) 297-1022

Attorneys for Alexis Gonzalez



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Alexis Gonzalez

Plaintiff,

V.

Resurgence Financial, LLC and Law Offices of Patenaude & Felix, APC,

Defendants.

Complaint For Damages

Jury Trial Demanded

INTRODUCTION

The United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of 1. abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy. Congress wrote the Fair 26 LERK, U.S. DID CONTROL Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (hereinafter to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIF



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses.
- The California legislature has determined that the banking and credit system 2. and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts and that unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confidence that is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers. The Legislature has further determined that there is a need to ensure that debt collectors exercise this responsibility with fairness, honesty and due regard for the debtor's rights and that debt collectors must be prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices.
- Alexis Gonzalez, ("Plaintiff"), through Plaintiff's attorneys, brings this action 3. to challenge the actions of Resurgence Financial, LLC, ("Resurgence"), and Law Offices of Patenaude & Felix, APC, ("Patenaude"), collectively, ("Defendants"), with regard to attempts by Defendant to unlawfully and abusively collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, and this conduct caused Plaintiff damages.
- Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception 4. of those allegations that pertain to a plaintiff, or to a plaintiff's counsel, which Plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge.
- While many violations are described below with specificity, this Complaint 5. alleges violations of the statutes cited in their entirety.
- Unless otherwise stated, all the conduct engaged in by Defendants took place 6. in California.
- Any violations by Defendants were knowing, willful, and intentional, and 7. Defendants did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k), and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for supplemental state claims.
- 9. This action arises out of Defendants' violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code §§ 1788-1788.32 ("Rosenthal Act").
- 10. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the City of Lake Worth, County of Palm Beach, State of Florida.
- 11. Because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Riverside County, primarily, Defendants' illegal filing of Case No. INC060739 in The Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391b(2).
- 12. Further, Resurgence's primary office is located in El Segundo, California.
- 13. Further, Patenaude's primary office is located in San Diego, California.
- 14. At all times relevant, Defendants conducted business within the State of California.

PARTIES

- 15. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the City of Lake Worth, State of Florida.
- 16. Resurgence is located in the City of El Segundo, in the State of California.
- 17. Plaintiff is obligated or allegedly obligated to pay a debt, and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 18. Defendants are persons who uses an instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and are therefore debt collectors as that phrase is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

-3 of 7-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiff is a natural person from whom a debt collector sought to collect a 19. consumer debt which was due and owing or alleged to be due and owing from Plaintiff, and is a "debtor" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(h).
- Defendants, in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of 20. himself, herself, or others, engages in debt collection as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(b), and are therefore debt collectors as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(c).
- This case involves money, property or their equivalent, due or owing or 21. alleged to be due or owing from a natural person by reason of a consumer credit transaction. As such, this action arises out of a consumer debt and "consumer credit" as those terms are defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(f).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- Sometime before August 11, 2006, Plaintiff is alleged to have incurred certain 22. financial obligations.
- These financial obligations were primarily for personal, family or household 23. purposes and are therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
- These alleged obligations were money, property, or their equivalent, which is 24. due or owing, or alleged to be due or owing, from a natural person to another person and are therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(d), and a "consumer debt" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(f).
- Sometime thereafter, but before August 11, 2006, Plaintiff allegedly fell 25. behind in the payments allegedly owed on the alleged debt. Plaintiff currently takes no position as to the validity of this alleged debt.
- Subsequently, but before August 11, 2006, the alleged debt was assigned, 26. placed, or otherwise transferred, to Defendants for collection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 27. On or about August 11, 2006, Patenaude, acting on behalf of Resurgence, filed a state collections lawsuit against Plaintiff in the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case No. INC060739.
- Despite filing the State Action in the Superior Court of California, County of 28. Riverside, Plaintiff did not reside within the jurisdiction of Superior Court of California, County of Riverside at the time the lawsuit was filed, but rather, resided within the jurisdiction of Palm Beach County, Florida.
- 29. Defendants brought a legal action against Plaintiff to enforce an interest in real property securing the alleged obligation in a judicial district or similar legal entity outside of where such real property was located. This conduct by Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692i.
- Based upon Plaintiff's current address, the correct Court to hear the 30. underlying action was the Superior Court of Palm Beach, County of Palm Beach, State of Florida.
- As a result of Defendants' illegal conduct, Plaintiff has incurred damages, 31. including being forced to defend an action in an improper and inconvenient forum.
- Through this conduct, Defendants used a false, deceptive, or misleading 32. representation or means in connection with the collection of a debt. Consequently, Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e and 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).
- Through this conduct, Defendants used false representations or deceptive 33. means to collect or attempt to collect a debt or to obtain information Consequently, Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § concerning a consumer. 1692e and 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).
- Because this violated certain portions of the federal Fair Debt Collection 34. Practices Act as these portions are incorporated by reference in the Rosenthal

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, through California Civil Code § 1788.17, this conduct or omission violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.

On or about February 21, 2013, upon review of his credit report, Plaintiff first 35. became aware of Defendants' lawsuit filed against Plaintiff.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (FDCPA)

15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 ET SEQ.

- 36. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, all other paragraphs.
- The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations 37. of the FDCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the abovecited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
- As a result of each and every violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to 38. any actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from Defendant.

COUNT II

ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (ROSENTHAL ACT) CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1788-1788.32

- 39. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, all other paragraphs.
- 40. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations of the Rosenthal Act, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the Rosenthal Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788-1788.32
- As a result of each and every violation of the Rosenthal Act, Plaintiff is 41. entitled to any actual damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a);

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

statutory damages for a knowing or willful violation in the amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c) from Defendant.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendants, and Plaintiff be awarded damages from Defendant, as follows:

- An award of actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- An award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- An award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);
- An award of actual damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 1788.30(a);
- An award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b);
- An award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c).
- 42. Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

Hyde & Swigart

Date: January 23, 2014

By:s/Crosby S. Connolly Crosby S. Connolly Attorneys for Plaintiff

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES JUDGES

	This case has been	assigned to D	istrict Judge	Dale S. Fisc	her	and the assigned
Magist	rate Judge is	Patrick)	f. Walsh	<u> </u>		
	The case r	umber on all	documents filed v	with the Court shou	ld read as follo	ws:
		and the second s	ED14CV172	DSF PJWx		
Califor	Pursuant to Genera					District of
	All discovery relate	d motions sh	ould be noticed or	n the calendar of the	e Magistrate Jud	lge.
				Clerk, U. S. D.	istrict Court	
	January 29, 2014			By J.Prado	1	
	Date			Deputy Cl	erk	
			NOTICE TO	COUNSEL		
- /	of this notice must b copy of this notice m			complaint on all de	fendants (if a re	moval action is
Subseq	uent documents m	ust be filed a	t the following lo	cation:		
X	Western Division 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 900		Southern Division 411 West Fourth S Santa Ana, CA 927	St., Ste 1053	Eastern Divisio 3470 Twelfth S Riverside, CA S	treet, Room 134
Failure	to file at the prope	r location wi	ll result in your d	ocuments being re	turned to you.	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF COURT

MEMORANDUM

_

In accordance with General Order 98-3 and 02-06, there has been a determined maximum number of civil cases allowed for assignment to the Eastern and Southern Division for this month. In order that the civil case filings in the Eastern or Southern Divisions do not exceed the maximum number of cases allowed for assignment, the above-date that this case was filed was designated a "black-out" day. Any civil case with Eastern or Southern Division venue that is received for filing at any Clerk's Office location on a designated "black-out" day shall be assigned to a Los Angeles District Judge and may be referred to a Los Angeles Magistrate Judge.

Please be advised that the above-entitled case has Eastern or Southern Division venue as indicated by the case number and has been assigned to you pursuant to the "black-out" procedures of this district.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, feel free to contact me at ext. 3535 .

cc: Statistics & Research

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central District of California

Alexis Gonzalez)
Plaintiff(s)	- EDCV14-172DSE [PJMx]
v.	Civil Action No.
Resurgence Financial, LLC; and Law Offices of Patenaude & Felix, APC))
)
Defendant(s)	

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Resurgence Financial, LLC 260 N. Sepulveda Blvd., #2077 El Segundo, CA 90245

Law Offices of Patenaude & Felix, APC 4545 Murphy Canyon Road, 3rd Floor San Diego, CA 92123

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Crosby S. Connolly, Esq.; Joshua B. Swigart

Hyde & Swigart 2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101 San Diego, CA 92108

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

	JAN 2 9 2014	CLERK OF COURT
Date: _		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

ORIGINAL

berr

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (nar	ne of individual and title, if any)										
was re	eceived by me on (date)		•									
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individu	ual at <i>(place)</i>									
			on	(date)	; or							
	☐ I left the summons	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)										
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,											
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy	to the indivi	idual's last known address; or								
	☐ I served the summo	ns on (name of individual)			, v	vho is						
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on b										
			on	(date)	; or							
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because				; or						
	☐ Other (specify):			•								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$		for services, for a total of \$	0.00							
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this informat	ion is true.									
Date:				Server's signature								
				per yer v signami								
				Printed name and title		4000000						
				Server's address								

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Case 5:14-cv-0017241056F57FJWDISDRICUITORINT_ICENTIFIED IN 1917 CPT age 12 of 14 Page ID #:36 CIVIL COVER SHEET

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS (Che	eck box if you are repr	esenting yourself 🔲)	DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS (Check box if you are representing yourself)			
Alexis Gonzalez			Resurgence Financi	Resurgence Financial, LLC; and Law Offices of Patenaude & Felix, APC			
			ı				
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name are representing yourself, Crosby S. Connolly (SBN: 286 Hyde & Swigart 2221 Camino Del Rio South (619) 233-7770	provide same inform 5650); Joshua B. Swigart	ation.) (SBN: 225557)	(b) Attorneys (Firn are representing y	(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same information.)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	TION (Place an X in o	ne box only.)	II. CITIZENSHIP OF PR	RINCIPAL PARTIES-For Dox for plaintiff and one for c	Diversity Cases Only Refendant)		
1. U.S. Government	ズ 3. Federal Q Governmen	t Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	TF DEF Incorporated o of Business in t	r Principal Place 4 4		
			Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated a of Business in A	nd Principal Place 5 5 Snother State		
2. U.S. Government Defendant	4. Diversity (of Parties in		Citizen or Subject of a Coreign Country	3 Soreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X			4 Delinatoted or 5 To	ansferred from Another6	. Multi-		
V	Removed from State Court	3. Remanded from Appellate Court			District itigation		
V. REQUESTED IN COM	/IPLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: X Yes	No (Check "Yes" o	nly if demanded in com	plaint.)		
CLASS ACTION under	F.R.Cv.P. 23:	Yes 🗙 No	MONEY DEMA	ANDED IN COMPLAINT:	\$ 75,001.00		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	(Cite the U.S. Civil Statut	te under which you are filir	ng and write a brief stateme	nt of cause. Do not cite jurisdi	ctional statutes unless diversity.)		
15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq; Violation	ons of the Fair Debt Colle	ection Practices Act					
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one bo	ox only).					
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CONT.	IMMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS		
375 False Claims Act	110 Insurance	240 Torts to Land	462 Naturalization Application	Habeas Corpus:	820 Copyrights		
400 State	☐ 120 Marine	245 Tort Product Liability	465 Other	463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate	830 Patent		
Reapportionment 10 Antitrust	130 Miller Act	290 All Other Real	Immigration Actions	☐ Sentence	840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY		
430 Banks and Banking	140 Negotiable Instrument	Property TORTS	TORTS PERSONAL PROPERTY	530 General 535 Death Penalty	861 HIA (1395ff)		
450 Commerce/ICC	150 Recovery of	PERSONAL PROPERTY	370 Other Fraud	Other:	862 Black Lung (923)		
Rates/Etc.	Overpayment & Enforcement of	310 Airplane 315 Airplane	371 Truth in Lending	540 Mandamus/Other	863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g))		
470 Racketeer Influ-	Judgment	☐ Product Liability	380 Other Personal	550 Civil Rights	864 SSID Title XVI		
enced & Corrupt Org.	151 Medicare Act	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Property Damage	555 Prison Condition	865 RSI (405 (g))		
480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student	330 Fed. Employers'	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	560 Civil Detainee Conditions of	FEDERAL TAX SUITS		
490 Cable/Sat TV	Loan (Excl. Vet.)	340 Marine	BANKRUPTCY	Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)		
850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange	153 Recovery of Overpayment of	345 Marine Product	USC 158	625 Drug Related	871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC		
890 Other Statutory Actions	Vet. Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	USC 157	USC 881	7609		
891 Agricultural Acts	☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	CIVIL RIGHTS	690 Other			
893 Environmental	☐ 190 Other	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	440 Other Civil Rights	LABOR	•		
☐ Matters 895 Freedom of Info.	Contract	Injury 362 Personal Injury-	441 Voting	710 Fair Labor Standards Act			
Act	☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ Med Malpratice	442 Employment 443 Housing/	720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations			
896 Arbitration	196 Franchise	365 Personal Injury- Product Liability	Accomodations	740 Railway Labor Act			
899 Admin. Procedures	REAL PROPERTY	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical	445 American with Disabilities-	751 Family and Medical			
Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision	210 Land Condemnation	☐ Personal Injury	Employment	Leave Act 790 Other Labor	v		
950 Constitutionality of	220 Foreclosure	Product Liability 368 Asbestos	446 American with Disabilities-Other	Litigation 791 Employee Ret. Inc.			
State Statutes	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	Personal Injury Product Liability	448 Education	Security Act			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number:	EDCV	14-172				



Case 5:14-cv-00172-DSE-PJW Document 1 Filed 01/29/14 Page 13 of 14 Page ID #:37 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Page ID #:37 CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will most likely be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Question A: Was this case remove	ed from	25/4/4. 6/4/4/4.	STATE CASE WAS P				INI	TIAL DIVISION IN C	ACD IS:	
state court? Yes X No			Contraction of the contraction o					Western		
If "no, " go to Question B. If "yes," che			/entura, Santa Barbara, or Sar	n Luis Obis	po			Western		
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to			Drange Drange					Southern		
Question D, below, and skip to Section			Riverside or San Bernardino				Eastern			
Question B: Is the United States, o	or one of	135314	If the United Crotes or a	no of its 2	non clos	or amplayees is a party is it				
its agencies or employees, a party action?			if the United States, or o	me or its a	jencies (or employees, is a party, is it		INITIAL		
			A PLAINTIFF?		A DEFENDANT?			DIVISION IN CACD IS:		
Yes X No			en check the box below for the co which the majority of DEFENDANI			n check the box below for the c hich the majority of PLAINTIFFS				
If "no, " go to Question C. If "yes," che	eck the		os Angeles		-	s Angeles		West	ern	
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to			fentura, Santa Barbara, or San Obispo	Luis	11 1	ntura, Santa Barbara, or Sar Dispo	Luis	Western Southern		
Question D, below, and skip to Section			Orange		1	ange				
	ļ	R	liverside or San Bernardino		Riv	verside or San Bernardino		Easte	ern	
	ŀ)ther		☐ Other			Western		
Question C: Location of Los Ar		A. B. B. Ingeles Ventura, Santa Barbara, or Country San Luis Obispo Counties		40.00	C. D. Orange County Riverside or San Bernardino Counties		E. F. Outside the Central Other District of California		F. Other	
Indicate the location in which a majority of plaintiffs reside:	55,0,0,0,000]		4.00		×	
Indicate the location in which a	X]		· []			
majority of defendants reside: Indicate the location in which a majority of claims arose:						X				
C.1. Is either of the following true?	If so, che	eck th	e one that applies:	C.2. Is	either o	f the following true? If so,	check the	one that applies:		
2 or more answers in Colum	nn C] 2 or n	nore answers in Column D				
only 1 answer in Column C	and no ar	nswers	s in Column D		only 1	answer in Column D and n	o answers I	n Column C		
Your case will initi	ially be as	ssigne	d to the			Your case will initially	be assigned	I to the		
SOUTHE Enter "Southern" in res	RN DIVISI ponse to		ion D, below.	EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question D, below.						
If none applies, answer question C2 to the right.					If none applies, go to the box below.					
			Your case will in			to the				
			WEST Enter "Western" in re	TERN DIVIS esponse to		n D below.				
Question D: Initial Division?						INITIAL DIVISI	ON IN CACE)		
Enter the initial division determined by	y Questio	n A, B	, or C above:			West	ern			
						-1.2000000000000000000000000000000000000				

CIVIL COVER SHEET

CV-71 (09/13)

Page 2 of 3

Case 5:14-cv-00172NDSD-97JWesDostricencourfileder01x29/b4stRage014caf1f4rrinage ID #:38 **CIVIL COVER SHEET** IX(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? X NO YES If yes, list case number(s): IX(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? X NO YES If yes, list case number(s): Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case: (Check all boxes that apply) B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present. X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR SELF-REPRESENTED LITIGANT): s/Crosby S. Connolly DATE: 01/24/2014

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet).

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code 861	Abbreviation HIA	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))